| 1                 |   |   |  |
|-------------------|---|---|--|
| 2                 |   |   |  |
| 3                 |   |   |  |
| 4                 |   |   |  |
| 5                 | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |   |  |
| 6                 | WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON<br>AT TACOMA   |   |  |
| 7<br>8<br>9<br>10 | RAYNE DEE WELLS, JR,  Plaintiff,  v.  STEVEN DEMARS, et al.,  | CASE NO. C11-5759 BHS-JRC  ORDER ADOPTING REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION |  |
| 11                | Defendants.   |   |  |
| 12                |   |   |  |
| 13                | This matter comes before the Court on the Report and Recommendation ("R&R")                         |   |  |
| 14                | of the Honorable J. Richard Creatura, United States Magistrate Judge (Dkt. 46), and                 |   |  |
| 15                | Plaintiff Rayne Dee Wells, Jr.'s ("Wells") objections to the R&R (Dkt. 54).                         |   |  |
| 16                | On November 19, 2012, Judge Creatura filed the R&R recommending that the                            |   |  |
| 17                | Court grant Defendant Steven Demars's ("Demars") motion for summary judgment based                  |   |  |
| 18                | on qualified immunity and lack of personal participation. Dkt. 46. Judge Creatura                   |   |  |
| 19                | concluded that Demars was entitled to qualified immunity because there was no clearly               |   |  |
| 20                | established law that Wells had a federal right not to be placed on dry-cell watch. <i>Id.</i> at 4– |   |  |
| 21                | 7. Judge Creatura also concluded that Demars did not personally participate in the                  |   |  |
| 22                | circumstances of Wells's dry-cell watch. <i>Id.</i> at 7–8.   |   |  |

1 On January 11, 2013, Wells filed objections to both conclusions. Dkt. 54. On 2 January 18, 2012, Demars responded to the objections. Dkt. 55. 3 In this case, Wells fails to show any error in Judge Creatura's R&R. With regard to qualified immunity, the Court agrees with Judge Creatura that there is no clearly 4 5 established federal right that a prisoner may not be placed on dry-cell watch for three bowel movements or seven days maximum. With regard to personal participation, there 6 7 is no evidence that Demars personally participated in monitoring Wells during the drycell watch. 8 9 Therefore, the Court having considered the R&R, Wells's objections, and the 10 remaining record, does hereby find and order as follows: 11 (1) The R&R is **ADOPTED**; 12 Demars's motion for summary judgment is **GRANTED**; (2) 13 (3) The Clerk shall enter **JUDGMENT** for Demars; and 14 **(4)** Wells's in forma pauperis status is **REVOKED.** 15 Dated this 11th day of February, 2013. 16 17 18 United States District Judge 19 20 21 22